



**PCT**

WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION  
 International Bureau

INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

<b>(51) International Patent Classification <sup>5</sup> :</b>  <b>C12Q 1/68, G01N 33/48</b>	<b>A1</b>	<b>(11) International Publication Number:</b> <b>WO 94/02647</b>  <b>(43) International Publication Date:</b> 3 February 1994 (03.02.94)
<b>(21) International Application Number:</b> PCT/US93/07002 <b>(22) International Filing Date:</b> 27 July 1993 (27.07.93)  <b>(30) Priority data:</b> 07/918,765                      27 July 1992 (27.07.92)                      US  <b>(71) Applicant:</b> LIFE TECHNOLOGIES, INC. [US/US]; 8717 Grovemont Circle, P.O. Box 6009, Gaithersburg, MD 20877 (US).  <b>(72) Inventor:</b> KLEVAN, Leonard ; 18909 Impulse Lane, Gai- thersburg, MD 20879 (US).  <b>(74) Agents:</b> ESMOND, Robert, W. et al.; Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. , Suite 600, Washington, DC 20005 (US).		<b>(81) Designated States:</b> CA, JP, European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE, DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE).  <b>Published</b> <i>With international search report.</i>
<b>(54) Title:</b> DNA SIZING CONTROL STANDARDS FOR ELECTROPHORETIC ANALYSES  <b>(57) Abstract</b>  <p>The present invention is directed to a method for assessing the reliability of DNA sizing measurements performed on DNA samples. DNA sizing control standards are disclosed wherein each standard contains a unique set of DNA restriction fragments composed of coding fragments and measuring fragments of known sizes. Methods for constructing the fragments which can be used in making the DNA sizing control standards are disclosed. Kits comprising the DNA sizing control standards of the present invention are also disclosed.</p>		

## DNA SIZING CONTROL STANDARDS FOR ELECTROPHORETIC ANALYSES

### Field Of The Invention

5 The present invention is in the field of molecular biology and DNA based identification of individuals and relates to the technique of gel electrophoresis of nucleic acid fragments. More specifically, the invention relates to the use of DNA fragments of known molecular weight to assess the reliability of DNA sizing measurements on DNA samples.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

10 Gel electrophoresis is a technique that is commonly employed in molecular biology for determining the size distribution of restriction enzyme digests of DNA. This technique has found widespread use in research, as well as in practical applications. It has been found that certain DNA banding patterns detectable by electrophoresis are often  
15 associated with specific disease states. Thus, the electrophoretic analysis of samples obtained from a patient may allow physicians to make or confirm a particular diagnosis.

Other applications have resulted from the fact that DNA samples obtained from a particular individual can be digested with restriction  
20 enzymes, the fragments separated by electrophoresis and the resulting pattern of bands used to unambiguously identify that particular individual (except in the case of identical twins). Consequently, the electrophoretic analysis of DNA is finding application in the area of law enforcement. A person convicted of a crime may have a sample taken for DNA analysis.  
25 The results of this "DNA fingerprint" are compared to evidentiary material found at the scene of a crime or are kept on record and used for future comparison in much the same way as conventional fingerprints.

However, because the resulting data will be used in courts of law, the identification of individuals by DNA fingerprinting is technically  
30 demanding and must be done by laboratories with specialized equipment

- 2 -

and personnel. This creates a problem of quality control. Specifically, when the electrophoretic positions of DNA bands is critical data and samples are being analyzed on an industrial scale (tens of thousands of samples per year) by independent testing laboratories, it is important to  
5 know whether the data reported can be relied upon, i.e., do the results reported accurately represent the banding pattern as it *should* appear if all of the steps in the analysis had been correctly performed. The present invention is specifically aimed at addressing this problem.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

10 The present invention is directed to solving the problem of determining the reliability of electrophoretic analysis data performed on DNA restriction enzyme digests. The invention consists of DNA sizing control standards and a method for use thereof which can be used to assess the reliability of data obtained from enzyme restriction digests of  
15 DNA samples.

The DNA sizing control standards of the present invention contain various combinations of DNA fragments of defined size. They are made by digesting DNA with one or more restriction endonucleases in such a way that each fragment contains a common sequence element capable of  
20 hybridizing to an oligonucleotide probe. Each standard comprises a unique set of these fragments. Fragments may be either measuring fragments, coding fragments, or both. Coding fragments are used to unambiguously identify the sizing control standard, while measuring fragments are used to evaluate the accuracy of the sizing measurement.

25 The present invention, as exemplified herein, employs specific restriction endonucleases that can be used for generating fragments of appropriate size from the DNA of bacteriophage  $\lambda$  (*lambda*). DNA fragments are typically generated in such a way that the range of sizes cover the expected range of the sample data.

- 3 -

DNA sizing control standards may be supplied as part of a kit in which standards take the form of premixed combinations of measuring fragments and coding fragments that are supplied together with an oligonucleotide probe complementary to one or both of the measuring  
5 and coding fragments. The kit may also include an enzyme capable of labeling the probe either radioactively or in some other way which will allow visualization of electrophoretic bands. Examples of enzymes that could be used for labeling include DNA polymerases (for example *E. coli* DNA polymerase I) or polynucleotide kinase. Alternatively, kits may  
10 include DNA sizing standards along with some means for making a probe. For example, RNA probes could be made by supplying a DNA strand containing a sequence element corresponding to the probe sequence which is under the control of a promoter recognized by RNA polymerase. The RNA polymerase itself may or may not be included with the  
15 promoter/probe constructs.

The present invention is also directed to a method for determining the reliability of DNA electrophoretic results. This method is referred to as a "DNA sizing control system" and comprises preparing DNA sizing control standards as described herein and using these in conjunction with  
20 the DNA samples to be tested. DNA samples are electrophoresed on the same gels as the DNA sizing control standards. A comparison is then made between the results empirically obtained for the standards and the expected results. If the observed and expected band positions of the DNA sizing control standards agree with one another, the data derived  
25 from that analysis may be considered as reliable.

The fragments used in the DNA sizing control system are designated as either "coding fragments" or "measuring fragments". Each individual standard contains a specific set of coding fragments which serves to identify that standard. Each set of coding fragments has  
30 associated with it a different combination of measuring fragments. It is expected that such standards will be especially useful to users who

- 4 -

perform a very large number of electrophoretic analyses and who must therefore have available, and be able to keep track of, a correspondingly large number of unique standards. In order to obtain the most reliable results, it is preferred that the individual actually performing the electrophoresis not be aware of the results that are expected for the standards. Such an individual may either be supplied with separate standards and samples or the DNA standards may be incorporated into the samples themselves. In the latter case, it is preferred that the measuring fragments within the DNA sizing control standards be distinguishable from sample DNA fragments based upon the ability of the measuring fragments to hybridize to a specific oligonucleotide probe.

It is expected that the DNA sizing control standards and the DNA sizing system described herein will be useful to research laboratories, law enforcement agencies, and anyone else engaged in analyzing DNA samples on a large scale and needing to check on the accuracy of electrophoretic results.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

### A. Definitions

As used herein and unless otherwise indicated, the term "DNA fingerprinting" refers to the procedure whereby DNA samples are taken from a group of individuals, e.g., those convicted of a felony; the DNA is digested with a restriction enzyme; the size distribution of the resulting fragments is determined by gel electrophoresis. The data for each individual may be kept on file and compared with data obtained from future samples for the purpose of identification.

The term "DNA sizing control standards" refers to a set of DNA fragments of known molecular weight, each combination of fragments constituting a unique standard. Standards are comprised of coding DNA fragments and measuring DNA fragments and are analyzed by

- 5 -

electrophoresis at the same time as are samples containing DNA fragments of unknown size. If the electrophoretic positions obtained for the fragments in the standard correspond to the expected positions, this is taken as an indication that the sizing of the data concurrently obtained is reliable.

The term "measuring fragments" refers to the DNA fragments which are combined to form sizing control standards. Measuring fragments are prepared so that their molecular weights are known and can be used to test the accuracy of sizing data. Measuring fragments hybridize to a specific oligonucleotide probe. In order to be clearly resolved by electrophoresis, measuring fragments should differ by a measure of at least 5%.

"Coding fragments" are defined as DNA fragments arbitrarily chosen to be used for identifying the particular standard. Such fragments should differ in size by a measure of at least 5%. These fragments may be obtained from the same set of fragments as the measuring fragments (defined above) or they may be selected from a distinct set of fragments. In general, coding fragments may have an arbitrary, irregular spacing when separated by electrophoresis. In a most preferred embodiment, coding fragments are a fraction of a number of regularly spaced fragments, e.g., one to four fragments out of a set of five regularly spaced fragments.

The term "probe" refers to a nucleic acid molecule, e.g., an oligonucleotide, with a sequence complementary to a sequence element found within DNA sizing fragments. Preferably the probe DNA is labeled or otherwise detectable and used to visualize DNA bands after electrophoresis.

- 6 -

### B. Sizing Control Standards

The present invention is directed to the use of DNA sizing control standards used for electrophoresis. Each standard contains a unique set of pooled DNA restriction fragments of various sizes and each of these  
5 fragments contains at least one "S" sequence complementary to an oligonucleotide probe. The fragments may be identified after electrophoresis by Southern blotting and hybridization.

A number of mixtures of nucleic acid fragments are commercially available that can be used as size markers. The technology described in  
10 European Patent Application No. 466,404 (priority based on U.S. Patent Application No. 07/552,406, filed July 13, 1990, by Carlson, Watkins and Klevan, for "Size Markers For Electrophoretic Analysis of DNA", the contents of which is fully incorporated by reference herein), allows the construction of DNA marker ladders in which each band of the ladder is  
15 of known molecular weight and all "target" bands are visualized by hybridization to a specific, labeled oligonucleotide probe. An example of the application of this technology may be found in the "DNA Analysis Marker System" sold by Life Technology, Inc., Gaithersburg, Maryland. In this system, thirty restriction enzyme digests of lambda DNA are  
20 produced with different enzymes or combinations of enzymes. The DNA fragments produced by the restriction digests are pooled, separated by electrophoresis and individual bands are visualized with an appropriately labeled oligonucleotide probe of known sequence. This probe will identify thirty bands within the pooled digests, and these bands exhibit a near  
25 logarithmic distribution on gels. This technology allows one to construct combinations of marker bands within gels which are highly reproducible and specific, yet which offer great combinatorial flexibility in choice of banding patterns.

The preferred embodiments of the present invention rely upon the  
30 flexibility in Application No. 07/552,406 and represent a new application thereof. Specifically, one may use the same probe to detect both size

- 7 -

markers and sizing control fragments. Furthermore, one may use the same technology to make sizing control fragments and marker fragments. By combining the DNA fragments in unique combinations, standards have been developed which can be used to check on the quality of electrophoretic sizing data. For example, a purchaser of the standards may receive vials, each vial containing a set of DNA fragments and information on the electrophoretic pattern that should be exhibited by the standard. The purchaser could then use the standards directly or, more typically, supply the standard to an end-user who is electrophoretically analyzing samples supplied by the purchaser and who would *not* be informed of the pattern that should be exhibited by the standard. The purchaser could then determine the reliability of the results reported by the end-user by seeing if the data reported for the standard is accurate to within a certain tolerance. Thus, if all standard bands were reported to have sizes which were within a given percentage, e.g., 2.5%, of what was expected, the data for both the standard as well as the samples might be deemed as reliable.

There are certain variations on the way in which sizing control standards are used which are clearly encompassed by the present invention. For example, DNA samples and standards may be analyzed in separate lanes of a gel, or standards and samples may be combined and analyzed together. If samples and standards are combined, then it is preferred that the "S" sequence recognized by the probe be unique to the marker bands. As a result, only marker bands would be visualized after hybridization with the appropriate probe even though sample DNA fragments are present in the same gel lane. A purchaser may or may not supply an end-user with the probe necessary for visualizing the marker fragments. Hybridization of the gel run by the end-user could then be performed either by the purchaser or by a separate laboratory.

Purchasers of DNA sizing controls may perform their own electrophoretic analyses and may set their own criteria of acceptability in



- 8 -

terms of how closely expected and reported results must agree. DNA marker fragments could potentially be supplied in an uncombined form so that these purchasers can make up their own standards. This might be important for purchasers interested in maintaining an especially high level of confidentiality concerning the outcome of results.

The invention may take the form of a kit comprising a carrier means being compartmentalized to receive in close confinement therewith one or more container means such as vials, tubes and the like. One or more of said containers may contain the marker DNA fragments preferably, but not necessarily, already admixed into standards. One or more separate containers may contain oligonucleotide probes capable of annealing to said DNA fragments. Such a kit may also include one or more separate containers with proteins capable of enzymatically labeling probe DNA, for example, polynucleotide kinase or the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I. Preferably, the probe DNA is supplied as a pair of synthetic oligonucleotides. Each of the probe oligonucleotides are preferably at least 20 nucleotides in length and complementary to one another for 15 to 30 base pairs at their 3' end. Such oligonucleotides can be labeled by incorporation of labeled nucleotides in a chain extension reaction with each oligonucleotide serving as a primer and using the other as a template.

The probe may be labeled with a radioactive isotope (e.g.,  $^3\text{H}$ ,  $^{32}\text{P}$ ,  $^{35}\text{S}$ , or  $^{125}\text{I}$ ), a ligand (e.g., biotin), a hapten (e.g., dinitrophenol or fluorescein), or an enzyme (e.g., alkaline phosphatase,  $\beta$ -galactosidase, horseradish peroxidase, or microperoxidase), or any other suitable labeling material known or discovered in the art.

Containers of a kit of the present invention may alternatively contain a means for making the probe, as opposed to of just a means of labeling probes. RNA probes may be used as well as DNA probes. The means for making the probe may include transcribing probe sequences which are under the control of a promoter. For example, DNA sequences

- 9 -

downstream from SP6 promoters may be transcribed *in vitro* by SP6 RNA polymerase and sequences downstream from T7 promoters may be transcribed *in vitro* by the T7 RNA polymerase. The polymerases carrying out such transcription may also be included in containers comprising part  
5 of the kit.

### C. Sizing Control Compositions, Kits and Methods

The invention is directed to a unique method, hereinafter a "sizing control system", which can be used by those needing to determine the reliability of DNA electrophoretic analyses. This system is specifically  
10 aimed at addressing problems in the sizing control of DNA fingerprints, where the accuracy of a very large number of results must be assessed. The solution involves supplying internal standards along with the unknown samples. The system of combining coding fragments with measuring fragments described below and in Example 2 allows for the development  
15 of a very large number of different standards. This insures that testing laboratories will be unaware of the banding patterns expected from the supplied standards. Thus, the integrity of results is further insured.

The system disclosed in the present invention is highly flexible. In a preferred embodiment, each DNA sizing control standard contains a  
20 unique set of coding DNA fragments. Coding fragments are selected from a group of markers made as described in Example 1. The presence or absence of a coding band is represented by a binary code (e.g., "1" if the band is present and "0" if the band is absent).

Coding fragments may represent all of the bands in a particular  
25 region of the gel or in multiple regions. Since the coding fragment region will lack one or more bands, those bands designated as coding fragments are not present in regions where sample fragments are concentrated so as to maximize the accuracy of the sample measurement. Each set of coding fragments has associated with it one or more measuring fragments.  
30 Measuring fragments may be selected from a group of markers distinct

- 10 -

from the markers from which coding fragments are selected. In this case, coding bands and measuring bands may hybridize to different probes. Alternatively, one group of markers may be used, with certain markers designated as coding fragments and others as measuring fragments.

5 In another embodiment, the measuring bands themselves serve as coding fragments. All bands are measured. The size of each band is then compared with the known sizes of bands that have been placed together in sets. If any band size does not correspond, within tolerances, to bands that have been put in the set, the data may be rejected. If all the band  
10 sizes correspond to those placed in sets, but the combination of bands is not one that has been assembled, the data may similarly be rejected.

For example, suppose a group of fragments is generated according to the methods of Example 1 in which there are 10 bands from 1 to 10 kbp in length and where each fragment is 1 kbp larger than its  
15 predecessor. Bands electrophoresing at positions characteristic of DNA fragments with molecular weights of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 5 kbp are designated as coding bands and are used in combination with measuring bands with electrophoretic positions of 6 through 10 kbp. Any combination of coding bands and measuring bands could be used to construct a standard with a  
20 unique recognition pattern. Thus, one standard could be obtained by combining coding fragments of 2 and 5 kbp in length with measuring fragments 6 and 8 kbp in length. Using a binary system, the coding number of the standard would be 01001 (since the 1, 3 and 4 kbp coding bands are missing).

25 Once a group of standards has been made, a party practicing the sizing control system of the present invention would typically supply an end-user, e.g., a testing laboratory, with the DNA samples to be tested along with one or more sizing control standards. The DNA samples may be subjected to one or more restriction digests by the end-user, or the  
30 DNA may be digested before it is given to the end-user. Alternatively, the polymerase chain reaction ("PCR") may be used to generate DNA

- 11 -

strands from samples. PCR generated strands may be electrophoresed directly or digested with one or more restriction enzymes prior to electrophoresis. Although the expected electrophoretic results for the sizing control standard would be known by the party practicing the sizing control system, such information should not be supplied to the end-user. In the example given above, standard 01001 would be given to independent testing laboratories along with samples to be analyzed.

In the next step of the present invention, the end-user would analyze the DNA samples and standards electrophoretically and report the results to the party practicing the sizing control system. In order for reported results to be considered as reliable, the testing laboratory would have to report correct electrophoretic positions for the coding bands as well as correct positions for the measuring bands. How closely reported and expected results must be in order for the data to be acceptable would be a matter of choice for the user of the sizing control system.

In understanding the present invention, it may be helpful to consider the following general example of how it might be used. The example relates to establishing a data bank containing the restriction patterns, i.e., the DNA fingerprints, of all convicted felons. A large number of DNA samples, at least one sample for each felon, could be sent to testing laboratories. The laboratories then perform appropriate restriction digests and report back to the agency the electrophoretic size distribution of the resulting fragments. In order to determine if the results being reported are reliable, the Sizing Control System disclosed herein is employed. Sizing control standards are supplied along with the samples, each standard containing the coding and measuring bands described above. The testing laboratory may also be supplied with the oligonucleotide probe needed to visualize the measuring bands and coding bands. Each time that the testing laboratory analyzed a sample, it would also analyze the measuring bands and coding bands of one or more standards. Since the sizes of the standard marker bands are known, it can

- 12 -

be determined if the results reported for those standards are accurate and, by extension, whether the sizing results reported for other samples are also accurate.

5 A second way of practicing the present invention's sizing control system is illustrated in Example 3. Instead of separately designating marker bands as either coding bands or measuring bands, a party applying the sizing control system may simply keep a record of expected results for different standards and determine whether the electrophoretic band positions reported by an end-user fall within acceptable tolerances.

10 Modifications of the above described modes for carrying out the invention that are obvious to persons of skill in molecular biology or related fields are intended to be within the scope of the claimed invention. Although the foregoing invention has been described in detail by way of illustration and example for the purposes of clarity and  
15 understanding, it will be obvious that certain changes and modifications may be practiced within the scope of the appended claims.

### Examples

#### EXAMPLE 1: Construction of Target Fragments to be Used in Sizing Control Standards

20 A: Common Materials and Methods

*E. coli* bacteriophage  $\lambda$  (*lambda*) DNA (cIind 1, ts857, Sam 7) which is well-known in the art, having been sequenced and which is commercially available from a number of sources, was the source of all target DNAs. The probe DNA for either of the marker ladders  
25 exemplified herein may consist of any DNA fragment between nucleotides 33,783 and 34,212 of that  $\lambda$  DNA. Oligonucleotides were synthesized using standard phosphoramidite chemistry well-known in the art.

For each digest,  $\lambda$  DNA was cleaved with one or two restriction endonucleases. The enzymes used for individual digests are indicated in Table 1. Digestions were performed under standard conditions, generally according to the instructions of the enzyme's manufacturer. Restriction  
5 digests were pooled after digestion.

**B: First Method of Constructing a Probe**

In the first procedure, the target DNA consisted of pooled equal amounts of 30 different restriction digests of phage  $\lambda$  DNA. The probe DNA was a 26-base oligonucleotide having a sequence of

10 5'GCGACATTGCTCCGTGTATTCACTCG3'

which is complementary to nucleotides 34,000 to 34,025 of the standard  $\lambda$  DNA map. This oligonucleotide was labeled at its 5'-end by T4 polynucleotide kinase and [ $\gamma$ - $^{32}\text{P}$ ]-ATP (BRL cat. no. 8060SA, Life Technologies, Inc., Gaithersburg, MD). Hybridization of  $^{32}\text{P}$ -labeled probe DNA to a Southern blot of the target DNA revealed bands of the expected pattern.

**C: Second Method of Constructing a Probe**

The method described in Part B above was improved by changing the probe DNA such that (a) it could easily be labeled with DNA polymerase as well as polynucleotide kinase, and (b) it would remain hybridized to the Southern blot even when washed at high temperature (65°C) and low salt concentration (0.015 M NaCl). This was achieved by utilizing two 70-base, synthetic oligonucleotides that were complementary to opposite strands of  $\lambda$  DNA, and also complementary to one another for 15 bases at their 3'-termini. The two oligonucleotides were as follows:

5'AGGCCACTATCAGGCAGCTTTGTTGTTCTGTTTAC-

CAAGTTCTCTGGCAATCATTGCCGTCGTTCTGTATT3'

5'AGCCTGAAGAAATGTTTCCTGTAATGGAAGATGGG-

AAATATGTCGATAAATGGGCAATACGAACGACGGC3'

- 14 -

The underlined segments are complementary to each other. The first oligonucleotide is encoded by sequences from coordinates 34,078 (5'-end) to 34,147 (3'-end) and the second oligonucleotide is encoded by sequences from 34,133 (3'-end) to 34,202 (5'-end) on the standard  $\lambda$  map. These  
5 oligonucleotides were mixed with each other, the Klenow fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I, and four deoxynucleotide triphosphates, one of which was  $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P-labelled. The polymerase extended each oligonucleotide using the other as a template and produced two  $\alpha$ -<sup>32</sup>P-labelled, complementary oligonucleotides. This new probe  
10 hybridizes to the same target fragments as the previous probe. A mixture of the new 70-mers was labeled with the large fragment of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I and hybridized to a Southern blot of the target DNA.

In preferred embodiments, one increases the amounts, i.e., relative copy number or the dosage, of the target DNA for the largest and  
15 smallest bands. Large DNA fragments blot inefficiently. As is well known in the art, small fragments are poorly retained on membranes during hybridization. Therefore, the signal from large DNA fragments and small DNA fragments tends to be less than the signal from bands in the middle range. Increasing the dose threefold of bands greater than  
20 6000 bp or less than 900 bp has been shown to be advantageous.

- 15 -

Table 1: Examples of Possible DNA Target Fragments

Enzyme(s)	Size	<i>Lambda</i> Coordinates	
		Left	Right
<i>Xba</i> I	23,994	24,508	48,502
<i>Sst</i> I	22,621	25,881	48,502
<i>Xho</i> I	15,004	33,498	48,502
<i>Nco</i> I/ <i>Bgl</i> II	11,919	32,329	44,248
<i>Xba</i> I/ <i>Bgl</i> II	11,203	24,508	35,711
<i>Hind</i> III	9,416	27,479	36,895
<i>Sma</i> I	8,271	31,619	39,890
<i>Eco</i> RI	7,421	31,747	39,168
<i>Ava</i> II	6,442	32,562	39,004
<i>Hae</i> II	5,861	28,859	34,720
<i>Eco</i> RV/ <i>Ava</i> II	5,415	33,589	39,004
<i>Ava</i> I	4,716	33,498	38,214
<i>Ava</i> II/ <i>Hind</i> III	4,333	32,562	36,895
<i>Bgl</i> II/ <i>Bst</i> EII	4,045	32,329	36,374
<i>Ava</i> II/ <i>Bst</i> EII	3,812	32,562	36,374
<i>Dra</i> I	3,599	32,705	36,304
<i>Xho</i> I/ <i>Hind</i> III	3,397	33,498	36,895
<i>Sma</i> I/ <i>Hae</i> II	3,101	31,619	34,720
<i>Xho</i> I/ <i>Bst</i> EII	2,876	33,498	36,374
<i>Nci</i> I	2,650	33,158	35,808
<i>Nde</i> I	2,433	33,680	36,113
<i>Msp</i> I	2,293	33,157	35,450
<i>Xho</i> I/ <i>Bgl</i> II	2,213	33,498	35,711
<i>Hinc</i> II	2,015	33,246	35,261
<i>Eco</i> RV/ <i>Msp</i> I	1,861	33,589	35,450
<i>Xho</i> I/ <i>Hinc</i> II	1,763	33,498	35,261
<i>Eco</i> RV/ <i>Hinc</i> II	1,672	33,589	35,261
<i>Rsa</i> I	1,568	32,868	34,436
<i>Ssp</i> I	1,431	33,572	35,003
<i>Msp</i> I/ <i>Bam</i> HI	1,342	33,157	34,499
<i>Tha</i> I/ <i>Rsa</i> I	1,287	33,149	34,436
<i>Sau</i> 3AI	1,176	33,323	34,499
<i>Cla</i> I	1,112	33,585	34,697
<i>Cfo</i> I	993	33,726	34,719
<i>Eco</i> RV/ <i>Bam</i> HI	910	33,589	34,499
<i>Hin</i> FI	844	33,783	34,627
<i>Dde</i> I	784	33,535	34,319
<i>Eco</i> RV/ <i>Cvn</i> I	730	33,589	34,319
<i>Hin</i> FI/ <i>Rsa</i> I	653	33,783	34,436
<i>Nsi</i> I	526	33,686	34,212



- 16 -

**EXAMPLE 2:      Preferred Sizing Control System**

The marker fragments generated as described in Example 1 are used to make sizing control standards as follows: For simplicity, there may be 18 marker fragments, each about 1 kbp apart, i.e., there are  
5 fragments of about 1 kbp, 2 kbp, 3 kbp, and so on, up to a final fragment of about 18 kbp in length. Bands 1 to 5 are designated as coding fragments, while bands 6 to 18 are measuring fragments. These fragments are combined to form a series of sizing control standards. For example, one standard might have coding bands of 2 and 5 kbp and measuring  
10 bands of 8, 9, 13, 16 and 17 kbp. Since only coding bands 2 and 5 are present, this standard would have the coding number of 01001 in a binary system or "9" in a decimal system.

Each time the party practicing the invention supplies a testing laboratory with DNA samples for analysis, it also supplies one or more  
15 standards in separate vials. The laboratory analyzes both the standards and the samples and reports back the results. If the size reported for each band in the standard does not fall within a preselected tolerance of what the correct size of that band is known to be, the data would not be relied upon. For example, if the testing laboratory receiving standard  
20 01001 does not report band sizes within a certain tolerance of 8, 9, 13, 16 and 17 kbp (for example, within 2.5% of the known sizes of the fragments), the results reported by that laboratory would not be considered as accurate and would for example, not be entered into a database. Of course, if the sizes of the coding bands were incorrect, the  
25 data would also be rejected.

Since five coding fragments would permit only  $2^5$  (that is 32) different sizing control test patterns, one could assign test series letters (e.g., "sizing control test standard series A") allowing one to reuse the five coding fragments numerous times.

- 17 -

**EXAMPLE 3:     Alternative Sizing Control System**

An alternative sizing control system would be practiced as in Example 2, but would not use any specific coding bands (e.g., bands 1-5) for identifying standards. Using, as an example, the same patterns as in  
 5 Example 2 above, the testing laboratory would report the sizes of 7 bands, which the validating authority would compare with a list of fragment sizes known to be present in the various standards. For ease of illustration, assume that the band sizes in kbp are exactly equal to their position number. If the testing laboratory reports that the standard gave bands at  
 10 1.97 kbp, 5.04 kbp, 7.9 kbp, 9.05 kbp, 13.1 kbp, 16.3 kbp, and 17.4 kbp, the central authority would compare these results to a sorted list of band size combinations known to be contained in standards:

	<362	...
	#362:	2, 4, 9, 12, 15, 17, 18
15	#364:	2, 5, 7, 12, 13, 17
	#365:	2, 5, 8, 9, 13, 16, 17
	#366:	2, 5, 13, 16, 17, 18
	#367:	2, 6, 7, 10, 17
	>367	...

20 Going down the list, one would see that 1.97 kbp is within 2.5% of the first band and 5.04 kbp is within 2.5% of the second band of patterns 364-366 and that 7.9 kbp, 9.05 kbp, 13.1 kbp, 16.3 kbp, and 17.4 kbp all fall within tolerances of a known sample. Therefore, the unknown data, i.e., the data for the samples being analyzed at the same time as the  
 25 standards, would be accepted. If the pattern was reported to be 1.97 kbp, 5.04 kbp, 7.9 kbp, 9.05 kbp, 13.1 kbp, 16.5 kbp, and 17.4 kbp, the data would not be accepted, since 16.5 kbp is not within 2.5% of 16 kbp, and there is no pattern in the list that is within tolerances of the reported

- 18 -

band sizes. In this case, the rest of the data on the gel would not be accepted.

Another way of analyzing this data would be to convert band patterns to binary numbers. If a target band did not fall within 2.5% of any known target band, the data would be thrown out. Similarly, if the binary number (or its decimal equivalent) did not correspond to a number on the list, the data would be thrown out.

	<u>Bands</u>	<u>Binary</u>	<u>Decimal</u>
#362:	2, 4, 9, 12, 15, 17, 18	010100001001001011	82,507
#364:	2, 5, 7, 12, 13, 17	010010100001100010	75,874
#365:	2, 5, 8, 9, 13, 16, 17	010010011000100110	75,302
#366:	2, 5, 13, 16, 17, 18	010010000000100111	73,767
#367:	2, 6, 7, 10, 17	010001100100000010	71,938

It should be noted that bands do not necessarily have to be in numerical order, facilitating addition of new bands to the system from time to time. For example:

1 2 3 4 5 20 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 19 13 14 15 16 17 18

Bands are preferably spaced at least 2 times the tolerance apart, so that a sizing control number can unambiguously be assigned to a band. Thus, if the tolerance is 2.5%, the bands must be at least 5% different in size. For example, given a tolerance of 2.5% and correct sizes for bands 2 and 3 of 1.00 kbp and 1.04 kbp respectively (4% different), a measurement of 1.02 kbp could not be assigned unambiguously to either band. In contrast, if the correct sizes were 1.00 kbp and 1.06 kbp (6% different), 1.02 kbp would unambiguously be assigned to band 2.

- 19 -

**EXAMPLE 4: Preliminary Test of Scheme**

Control sets were constructed with three bands each and electrophoresed in the same gel as a marker ladder of "Kit 2" of European Patent Application No. 466,404. The following data were obtained:

Set	Measured	Actual	Absolute Difference	% Difference
1	8232	8271	-39	-0.47
	3397	3397	0	0
	2439	2433	6	-0.25
2	7419	7421	-2	-0.03
	6469	6442	27	0.42
	2213	2213	0	0
3	4716	4716	0	0
	2219	2213	6	0.27
	1672	1672	0	0
4	9490	9416	74	0.79
	3812	3812	0	0
	2015	2015	0	0
5	4717	4716	1	0.02
	3398	3397	1	0.03
	1573	1568	5	0.32

\* % difference = (absolute difference/actual) x 100.

All of the data were within tolerances.

-----

Although the foregoing refers to particular preferred embodiments,  
 5 it will be understood that the present invention is not so limited. It will  
 occur to those of ordinary skill in the art that various modifications may  
 be made to the disclosed embodiments and that such modifications are  
 intended to be within the scope of the present invention, which is defined  
 by the following Claims.

- 20 -

WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1    1.    A DNA sizing control standard comprising more than one  
2        measuring DNA fragment and more than one coding DNA  
3        fragment wherein:
  - 4        A.    measuring fragments and coding fragments are selected  
5            from a group of target fragments generated by pooling  
6            DNA restriction endonuclease digests wherein;
    - 7            i.    a DNA restriction digest is a collection of DNA  
8                fragments resulting from the digestion of DNA by  
9                one or more restriction endonucleases;
    - 10            ii.   each restriction digest giving measuring fragments is  
11                obtained from a first DNA molecule;
    - 12            iii.   each restriction digest giving coding fragments is  
13                obtained from a second DNA molecule, which may  
14                be the same as the first DNA molecule;
  - 15        b.    a first probe recognizes each measuring fragment;
  - 16        c.    a second probe, which may be the same as the first probe,  
17            recognizes each coding fragment;
  - 18        d.    when said measuring fragments are electrophoresed and  
19            annealed to the first probe, detectably labeled bands are  
20            obtained;
  - 21        e.    when said coding fragments are electrophoresed and  
22            annealed to the second probe, detectably labeled bands are  
23            obtained.
- 1    2.    The standard of claim 1, wherein measuring fragments most similar  
2        in size differ by at least about 5%.

- 21 -

- 1     3.     The standard of claim 1, wherein measuring fragments are  
2           obtainable by restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA from  
3           bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1     4.     The standard of claim 3, wherein the measuring fragments  
2           comprise a nucleotide sequence present in or complementary to a  
3           sequence present in nucleotides 33,783 to 34,212 of bacteriophage  
4            $\lambda$ .
- 1     5.     The standard of claim 1, wherein coding fragments most similar in  
2           size differ by at least about 5%.
- 1     6.     The standard of claim 1, wherein coding fragments are obtainable  
2           by restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA from bacteriophage  
3            $\lambda$ .
- 1     7.     The standard of claim 6, wherein the coding fragments comprise  
2           a nucleotide sequence present in or complementary to a sequence  
3           present in nucleotides 33,783 to 34,212 of bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1     8.     The standard of claim 1 wherein the measuring fragments and the  
2           coding fragments are the same.
- 1     9.     The standard of claim 1 wherein the measuring fragments and the  
2           coding fragments are different.
- 1     10.    A kit comprising a carrier means containing in close confinement  
2           therein one or more container means wherein:  
3           a.     a first container means contains the sizing control standard  
4           of claim 1; and

- 22 -

5           b.     container means containing a nucleic acid probe  
6                     complementary to coding and/or measuring fragments.

1       11.    The kit of claim 10 wherein the sequence of a probe  
2                     oligonucleotide is complementary to a sequence present between  
3                     nucleotide 33,783 and nucleotide 34,212 of bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .

1       12.    The kit of claim 10 further comprising a container means  
2                     containing an enzyme capable of labeling the probe.

1       13.    The kit of claim 12 wherein said enzyme is capable of radioactively  
2                     labeling the probe.

14.    The kit of claim 13 wherein said enzyme is a DNA polymerase.

1       15.    The kit of claim 14 wherein said enzyme is the Klenow fragment  
2                     of *E. coli* DNA polymerase I.

1       16.    The kit of claim 13 wherein said enzyme is polynucleotide kinase.

1       17.    The kit of claim 10 wherein target fragments are supplied  
2                     separately and then combined to make up said sizing control  
3                     standards.

1       18.    A kit comprising a carrier means containing in close confinement  
2                     therein one or more container means wherein;

3           a.     a first container means contains the sizing control standard  
4                     of claim 1; and

5           b.     a second container means contains a means for making a  
6                     probe.

- 23 -

- 1        19.    The kit of claim 18 wherein the means for making said probe is a  
2               means for making an RNA probe.
- 1        20.    The kit of claim 19 wherein the means for making the probe  
2               comprises:  
3               a.    a DNA strand comprising a sequence element  
4                       corresponding to probe sequence which is under the control  
5                       of a promoter recognized by an RNA polymerase;  
6               b.    an RNA polymerase capable of initiating transcription from  
7                       said promoter.
- 1        21.    The kit of claim 20 wherein target fragments are supplied  
2               separately and then combined to make up said sizing control  
3               standards.
- 1        22.    A method for determining the reliability of electrophoretic DNA  
2               sizing measurements comprising:  
3               a.    supplying a sizing standard containing DNA fragments of  
4                       known sizes;  
5               b.    electrophoresing through a gel a DNA sample to be  
6                       analyzed;  
7               c.    concurrently in the same gel electrophoresing the sizing  
8                       standard of subsection a;  
9               d.    visualizing DNA bands in the electrophoresed sizing  
10                      standard by annealing one or more labeled nucleic acid  
11                      probes;  
12               e.    visualizing DNA bands in the sample;  
13               f.    measuring the sizes of the DNA bands of both the  
14                      electrophoresed DNA sample and the measuring bands of  
15                      electrophoresed sizing standard;



- 16 g. determining the expected sizes of the measuring fragments  
17 from the coding fragment pattern; and  
18 h. determining the reliability of data obtained for the samples  
19 according to how closely the electrophoretic measurements  
20 obtained for measuring fragments in the sizing standard  
21 correspond to their expected measurements.
- 1 23. The method of claim 22 wherein the visualization of DNA bands  
2 in the sample, as recited in subsection e of claim 22, is  
3 accomplished by annealing one or more labeled nucleic acid  
4 probes.
- 1 24. The method of claim 22 wherein the party practicing the method  
2 knows the sizes of the DNA fragments in the standard but the  
3 party performing the electrophoresis does not.
- 1 25. The method of claim 22 further comprising preparing the standard  
2 used in electrophoresis wherein:  
3 a. the sizing standard is obtained by combining more than one  
4 measuring DNA fragment and more than one coding DNA  
5 fragment;  
1 b. measuring fragments and coding fragments are selected  
2 from a group of target fragments generated by pooling  
3 DNA restriction endonuclease digests wherein;  
4 i. a DNA restriction digest is a collection of DNA  
5 fragments resulting from the digestion of DNA by  
6 one or more restriction endonucleases;  
7 ii. each restriction digest giving measuring fragments is  
8 obtained from a first DNA molecule;

- 25 -

- 9                   iii. each restriction digest giving coding fragments is  
10                   obtained from a second DNA molecule, which may  
11                   be the same as the first DNA molecule;  
12           c. a first probe recognizes each measuring fragment;  
13           d. a second probe, which may be the same as the first probe,  
14           recognizes each coding fragment;  
15           e. when said measuring fragments are electrophoresed and  
16           annealed to the first probe, detectably labeled bands are  
17           obtained;  
18           f. when said coding fragments are electrophoresed and  
19           annealed to the second probe, detectably labeled bands are  
20           obtained.
- 1       26. The method of claim 25, wherein said measuring fragments differ  
2       in size by at least about 5%.
- 1       27. The method of claim 25, wherein said measuring fragments are  
2       obtainable by restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA from  
3       bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1       28. The method of claim 27, wherein said measuring fragments  
2       comprise a nucleotide sequence present in or complementary to a  
3       sequence present between nucleotide 33,783 and nucleotide 34,212  
4       of bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1       29. The method of claim 25, wherein said measuring fragments and  
2       coding fragments are supplied separately and then combined to  
3       make up said standards.
- 1       30. The method of claim 25, wherein said coding fragments differ in  
2       size by at least about 5%.

- 26 -

- 1        31.    The method of claim 25, wherein said coding fragments are  
2                   obtainable by restriction endonuclease digestion of DNA from  
3                   bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1        32.    The method of claim 31, wherein said coding fragments comprise  
2                   a nucleotide sequence present in or complementary to a sequence  
3                   present between nucleotide 33,783 and nucleotide 34,212 of  
4                   bacteriophage  $\lambda$ .
- 1        33.    The method of claim 25, wherein the measuring fragments and the  
2                   coding fragments are the same.
- 1        34.    The method of claim 25, wherein the measuring fragments and the  
2                   coding fragments are different.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.  
PCT/US93/07002

## A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC(5) :C12Q 1/68; G01N 33/48

US CL :435/6; 436/94

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

## B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

U.S. : 435/6; 436/94

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

APS, MEDLINE, BIOSIS

search terms: standards, markers, DNA, electrophoresis

## C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
A	Biochemistry, Vol. 27, issued 1988, Mathew et al., "High-Resolution Separation and Accurate Size Determination in Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis of DNA", pages 9204-9210, see Abstract.	1-34
A	US, A, 5,030,566 (Son et al.) 09 July 1991, see Abstract.	1-34
Y	EP, A, 0,466,404 (Carlson et al.) 15 January 1992, see entire document.	1-34

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C. ☐ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:	
*A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be part of particular relevance	*I* later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention
*E* earlier document published on or after the international filing date	*X* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone
*L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	*Y* document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art
*O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	*Z* document member of the same patent family
*P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	

Date of the actual completion of the international search

08 September 1993

Date of mailing of the international search report

08 OCT 1993

Name and mailing address of the ISA/US  
Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  
Box PCT  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Facsimile No. NOT APPLICABLE

Authorized officer

MARGARET PARR

Telephone No. (703) 308-0196